



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/781,069	02/18/2004	Armin Meinzer	100-8388C	1856
1095	7590 11/04/20	04	EXAMINER	
NOVARTI CORPORAT	S FE INTELLECTUAL	SPEAR, JAMES M		
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST HAN	OVER, NJ 07936-1	1615		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summan	10/781,069	MEINZER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		James M Spear	1615
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
- External control con	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	(30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe	ebruary 2004.	
		action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allowar		irs prosecution as to the morite in
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposit	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
ا_(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abevance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s)	his objected to Sec 27 CED 4 404(4)
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO 153
		and the time attached	Since Action of form FTO-152.
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[2] /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	lication No. <u>09/284,391</u> .
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not re	James M, Spear JAMES M. SPEAR PRIMARY EXAMINER
Attachment(	•		AU 1615
)   Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	imary (PTO-413)
) 🔀 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M 5)  Notice of Infor	fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	•
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re	. 4.04)	on Summary	Part of Paner No (Mail Date 44040004

Application/Control Number: 10/781,069

Art Unit: 1615

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,339 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in not limiting the amount of the polyethylene glycol applicants present a broader claim considered generic in nature to the claims of the patent. It has been well settled that obviousness type double patenting rejections of application claims that are generic to species of invention covered by a patent claim are proper since the patentee could gain an extension of term on a species when the broad genus is later issued and since without terminal disclaimer, extant species claims preclude issuance of generic application claims. *In re Emert, 44 USPQ2d 1152 (CAFC 1997); In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d, 2010 (CAFC 1993); and In re Van Omum, 214 USPQ 761, 767 (CCPA 1982).*
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,069

Art Unit: 1615

Page 3

6,475,519 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the application are considered generic to the species of invention claims of the patent. The claims are rejected for the same reasons as stated above.

## 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Spear whose telephone number is 571 272 0605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page, can be reached on 571 272 0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M Spear Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615 Application/Control Number: 10/781,069

Art Unit: 1615

November 01, 2004

JAMES M. SPEAR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4